

REMARKS

Claims 15, 19, 21-27, 35-37, and 39 are pending after this supplemental amendment. Applicant has amended claims 35-37 and 39 to further clarify the subject matter that applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has canceled claim 38.

Claims 35-37 and 39 now recite that a network diagram is “displayed in either ID-only mode or not in ID-only mode.” and “when the network diagram is displayed not in ID-only mode, it is displayed with a scaling percentage that is below a threshold set for node magnification.” When a mouse pointer hovers over a node of the network diagram, the “the node and the task data of the node [are displayed] at a standard magnification with standard formatting” to enlarge the node. Thus, enlargement occurs either when ID-only mode is used or when the scaling percentage is below the threshold.

In the last office action, these claims were rejected under Section 103 based on the combination of Walker and Garland. Neither Walker nor Garland teaches or suggests the displaying of nodes in standard magnification depending on whether a network diagram is displayed in ID-only mode or when the scaling percentage is below a threshold as now recited by these claims.


Based upon the above amendments and remarks, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application and its early allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned at (206) 359-8548.

Please charge and deficiencies or credit any overpayments to our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 418268823US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated:

10/29/07

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Maurice J. Pirio

Registration No.: 33,273
PERKINS COIE LLP
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant